

Data Architecture Dilemma Many Single-Purpose Databases? Or

A Converged Autonomous Database?

Tirthankar Lahiri Senior Vice President, Data and In-Memory Technologies



A Brief History of Enterprise Data Management



These are the Voyages of the Modern Enterprise On its continuing mission ...

To explore strange new data models and unique new workloads ... To Boldly Go Where No Database has Gone Before

Mainframe Era: The War of The Paradigms

- Hierarchical (IMS) or Network Models (Codasyl)
 - Data organized as trees or graphs with links
 - Data navigation was imperative:
 - Programmers described how to access data
- The RDBMS (e.g. System R) was an upstart competitor
 - Data is organized into logical sets with relationships
 - Data navigation is declarative
 - SQL describes the question, not how to get the answer



Client-Server Era: The RDBMS Wins



- The RDBMS became the defacto data management standard
- Key reason: Developer Productivity
 - Declarative language and data model: Simple development
 - Standard language and APIs: Reuse developer skillsets
 - Consistent Transactions: Database guaranteed atomicity
 - Integrity of data automatically enforced by constraints
- Developers can focus on Application Logic (i.e. Development)
 - Data access, cleanliness, consistency handled by the database

Internet Era: New Extreme Requirements

https://

- "The internet changes everything" Larry Ellison
- "The network is the computer" Scott McNealy

New Requirements				
Volume	Exponential growth of data			
Scalability	Massive online user populations, much larger than enterprise			
Availability	Requirement to be always on, 24x7 access to data			
Variety	Many different data models (text, XML, Graph, Spatial) Object, XML databases appeared but vanished once mainstream DBs implemented them			
Security	Much larger attack surface area than enterprise			

Cloud Era: Developers and Microservices

0

"The Cloud Changes Everything"

- Deployment, Administration, specially Development
 - Empowers and Democratizes Development
 - Developers are also users (of database services)
 - Developer communities grow to planet scale
- Key transformation: Lightweight Microservices
 - Microservices encapsulate functionality of specific modules
 - Modular, loosely coupled and communicate via events
 - Agile: Can be developed and upgraded independently
 - Highly available: Microservices can fail independently



Cloud Era: Issues with "Monolithic" Databases

0

- Single Model database architecture sometimes slowed development:
 - Developers needed consensus on Data Model and Schema
- Single Database architecture sometimes could not meet cloud scale requirements



0

Cloud Era: Single Purpose Makes a Comeback

- Trend started by web giants:
 - Build Custom DBs for specific uses
 - Google Big Table, Facebook Cassandra, etc.
- NoSQL products began to proliferate
 - MongoDB, Couchbase, Redis, Neo4J ...
 - Different data models, languages, APIs
- Provided scalability & performance
 - With tradeoffs in functionality



Cloud Era: Oracle Becomes a Converged Database

- Oracle Database continued to evolve far beyond a traditional Relational DBMS
- Converges many data models and workloads:
 - Class Leading Document Database with JSON, Text, XML
 - Class Leading Database for AI and Machine Learning
 - Class Leading Spatial and Graph Database
 - Class Leading support for IoT, Times Series, Binary Data, Database Resident Filesystem, Objects, etc.



Meet the Present Day

Enterprise Data Architecture Dilemma

Today's Enterprise Data Architecture Dilemma

MANY SINGLE-PURPOSE DATABASES OR

A CONVERGED DATABASE





Single Purpose Databases: Microservice Friendly?

- Single-purpose engines may seem better for micro-services
- Each function has a separate database optimized for that use
- Functions are independent, and independent databases are also failure independent



Single Purpose Databases: Separating Myth from Reality

 Myth #1: Microservices need separate specialized databases

• Fact: They may need separate algorithms and data models

 Possible with single-purpose databases and a converged database



Single Purpose Databases: Missing Functionality

- Many of them lack:
 - Native data Integrity
 - Sophisticated Indexing
 - Full featured Transactions
 - Enterprise Security
 - Standard Declarative Languages
- Each is unique, need special skills



Single Purpose Databases: Infrastructural Downsides

- Administration, Integration challenges:
- Consistency of data
- Cross product compatibility
- Separate security patches
- Separate upgrade cycles
- Complex integration for Analytics
- Consistent backup of data is hard



Single Purpose Databases: Macro-Complex Microservices

• Microservices must manage enormous amounts of application state

- Application is responsible for:
 - -Atomicity of workflows
 - -Failure handling
 - -Consistent security policy



Real-World Macro-Complexity



Macro-Complexity

- Multiple technologies
- Multiple data stores
- Data copied multiple times to do analytics
- Compromises security
- Compromises data consistency
- Complex to maintain
- Need highly skilled developers to build & keep running

Can a Converged Database Ever Scale Like a Single-Purpose Database?



///



- Oracle Database has made major scalability advances over decades
- Every Oracle Database release has tackled difficult scaling problems
- Major architectural features for Scale-Up, Scale-Out, Fault tolerance



Database In-Memory: Converged Analytics and OLTP

- Fast OLTP and Real-Time Analytics in the same converged database
- Industry-first dual format architecture:
 - Row format ideal for OLTP, column format is ideal for Analytics
 - Same table in row and column format simultaneously
 - No data movement needed
 - Analytics against against real-time data



The Forrester Wave™: In-Memory Databases, Q1 2017

Oracle In-Memory Databases Scored Highest by Forrester on both Current Offering and Strategy

http://www.oracle.com/us/corporate/analystreports/forrester-imdb-wave-2017-3616348.pdf

The Forrester Wave™ is copyrighted by Forrester Research, Inc. Forrester and Forrester Wave™ are trademarks of Forrester Research, Inc. The Forrester Wave™ is a graphical representation of Forrester's call on a market and is plotted using a detailed spreadsheet with exposed scores, weightings, and comments. Forrester does not endorse any vendor, product, or service depicted in the Forrester Wave. Information is based on best available resources. Opinions reflect judgment at the time and are subject to change.



In-Memory Enables SIMD Vector Processing

Memory



CPU Load multiple State values Image: State of the state of

> 100x Faster

Example:

Find sales in

State of California

- Column format benefit: Need to access only needed columns
- Process multiple values with a single SIMD Vector Instruction
- Billions of rows/sec scan rate per
 CPU core
 - Row format is millions/sec

Accelerates Converged Workloads



- Inserting one row into a table requires updating 10-20 analytic indexes: Slow!
- Fast analytics <u>only on</u> indexed columns
- Analytic indexes increase database size



- Column Store not persistent so updates are: Fast!
- Fast analytics on <u>any</u> columns
- No analytic indexes: Reduces database size

Example Speedup from Database In-Memory

- Synthetic converged workload consisting of DML and Analytic Queries
- Comparison between conventional indexes and Database In-Memory
 - Faster DMLs due to reduced index maintenance
 - Inmemory column store also speeds up Analytics



Multitenant: Efficient Cloud Scale Deployments

- Many tenant *Pluggable Databases* (PDBs) share a single Container Database (CDB)
- Completely application transparent

Efficient resource sharing across tenants

Manage many tenants as one





Sharding: A Hyperscale Database Architecture

• Divide massive databases into independent units



One giant database divided into several smaller databases (shards)

- Native SQL for up to 1000 Shards
 - Send SQL to correct shard based on shard-key
 - Cross shard queries
 - Online reorganization of shards
- Sharding makes Oracle Database Hyperscale
 - Linear scalability of capacity, throughput, user population
 - Improves availability since shards are fault isolated
 - Manage of 100s of shards as a single logical database

Real-World Hyperscale Workloads



- Korea's number one mobile operator
- 65 billion transactions per day
- 18TB of data per day
- All data processing occurs on Oracle Database running on Exadata



- One of world's largest law enforcement orgs
- ~3 billion transactions per day
- ~32 billion queries per day
- Database is over 1PB
- Deployed on Oracle
 Database on Exadata

- World's largest stock exchange
 - •~1 billion database transactions per day
 - 180,000 messages/sec
- ~ 15 TB of data per day
- All data captured and processed in an Oracle Database on Exadata

Can a Converged Database Deliver the Functionality of Many Specialty Databases?



SP TARA CARA

4. C. C. P. P. S. S. S.

11/1

Oracle Database Ranks First for all OPDBMS Use Cases

Product or Service Scores for Traditional Transactions

4.40
4.40
4.23
3.73
3.60
3.48
3.28
3.23
3.23
3.23
3.18
3.08
2.90
2.75
N/A

Product or Service Scores for Operational & Analytics Convergence



rs with

Gartner: Critical Capabilities for Operational Database Management Systems Donald Feinberg, Merv Adrian and Nick Heudecker, October 23, 2018 These graphics were published by Gartner Inc. as part of a larger research document and should be evaluated in the context of the entire document. The Gartner document is available upon request from Oracle. Gartner does not endorse any vendor, product or service depicted in its research publications, and does not advise technology users to select only thosee ve the highest ratings of other designation. Gartner research publications consist of the opinions of Gartner's research organization and should not be construed as statements of fact. Gartner disclaims all warranties, expressed or implied, with respect to this research, including any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.

Oracle Database Ranks First for all OPDBMS Use Cases

Product or Service Scores for Event Processing/Data in Motion

Oracle (Oracle Database)		4.25
Microsoft (SQL Server)		4.05
SAP (SAP HANA)		3.78
AWS (Amazon DynamoDB)		3.60
DataStax (DSE)		3.33
MarkLogic		3.30
IBM (Db2)		3.23
InterSystems (Caché)		3.20
MapR		3.15
Google (Cloud Spanner)		3.03
Alibaba Cloud (AliSQL)		2.83
Actian (Actian X)		2.65
EnterpriseDB (EDB Advanced Server	r)	2.50
MongoDB	N/A	

Product or Service Scores for Distributed Variable Data



Gartner: Critical Capabilities for Operational Database Management Systems Donald Feinberg, Merv Adrian and Nick Heudecker, October 23, 2018 These graphics were published by Gartner Inc. as part of a larger research document and should be evaluated in the context of the entire document. The Gartner document is available upon request from Oracle. Gartner does not endorse any vendor, product or service depicted in its research publications, and does not advise technology users to select only thosee ve the highest ratings of other designation. Gartner research publications consist of the opinions of Gartner's research organization and should not be construed as statements of fact. Gartner disclaims all warranties, expressed or implied, with respect to this research, including any warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose.



Forrester Wave Translytical Data Platforms, Q4 2019

Oracle Position: Leader Oracle ranked highest on both Axis

- "Unlike other vendors, Oracle uses a dual-format database (row and columns for the same table) to deliver optimal translytical performance."
- "Customers like Oracle's capability to support many workloads including OLTP, IoT, microservices, multimodel, data science, AI/ML, spatial, graph, and analytics"
- "Existing Oracle applications do not require any changes to the application in order to leverage Oracle Database In-Memory"
- "Customers like the platform's ease of use, ease of expanding existing Oracle applications to take advantage of translytics, general data security capabilities, and technical support, as well as the Cloud At Customer offering"

THE FORRESTER WAVE™

Translytical Data Platforms Q4 2019



Published: October23, 2019 Analysts: Noel Yuhanna, Mike Gualtieri



JSON in Oracle Surpasses Document Specialists

• Developer friendly schema-less data storage



- Simple SQL Syntax with JSON paths select c.json_doc.name.last, c.json_doc.address.city from customers c;
- All existing Oracle features work with JSON Data
- Index any JSON column using Functional Indexes
- In-Memory Columnar Processing also allows 30-60x faster analytics on JSON data

JSON in Oracle Surpasses Document Specialists:



Methodology: Single client executing queries repeatedly with 1s sleep time. (20M x 1KB documents)

Source of YCSB-JSON queries: https://blog.couchbase.com/ ycsb-json-benchmarking-json-databases-by-extending-ycsb

Test Hardware				
Machine	1/2 X7 cell			
	(each cell is 2x			
	Xeon Silver 4114			
	CPU @ 2.20GHz, 10			
	cores, 2 th/core			
	=40 cpus, 1024k L2,			
	13M L3, 187Gb			
	RAM)			
CPUs	16 cores			
Memory	115GB			

Machine Learning in Oracle Surpasses AI Specialists

- Many algorithms and models with Oracle Advanced Analytics option
- Machine learning models and algorithms run inside Oracle Database
 - Data stays in-place
 - Massively parallel execution
- Flexible model building
 - SQL, R or Python
 - Oracle Data Miner
 - Oracle AutoML



Oracle Big Data Spatial & Graph Surpass Specialists

Spatial Analysis:

Location Data Enrichment

Proximity and containment analysis, Clustering

Spatial data preparation (Vector, Raster)

Interactive visualization



Property Graph Analysis:

Graph Database

In-memory Analysis Engine

- Scalable Network Analysis Algorithms
- Declarative PGQL
- Developer APIs



Unique Advantage for a Converged Database: Synergy

- Combined benefit exceeds the sum of individual benefits
- Convergence allows synergy across applications
- Data can be processed by many different algorithms, e.g.:
 - Relational analytics on document data
 - Fraud detection using Machine Learning inside a database transaction
 - Spatial search inside a relational application



Converged Database => Convergent Microservices

Single Purpose Databases: DIVERGENT MICROSERVICES

Converged Database: CONVERGENT MICROSERVICES





Oracle Database for Convergent Microservices

- Microservices can be stateless
 - -Push application state to database
 - -Push application events into database
 - -Database manages atomicity
 - Database manages recovery
- Consistent security policy is seamless and easy to implement

CONVERGENT MICROSERVICES



Oracle Database for Convergent Microservices

Myth #3: Converged database architecture requires all data to be in a single database

Can still use separate databases for isolation (e.g. OLTP and Analytics)

Multitenant allows **maximum flexibility** for microservices

- Can share a tenant database
- Or use separate tenant databases
- Or use separate container databases



CONVERGENT MICROSERVICES

What about a Hyperscale **Converged Database** Augmented by Machine Learning?

Autonomous Database: Ultimate Converged Platform



Self-Driving

- Scale-out database with fault-tolerance and DR
- Runs on enterprise-proven Exadata platform
- Full compatibility with existing enterprise databases



Self-Securing

- Automatically applies security updates online
- Secure configuration with full database encryption
- Sensitive data hidden from Oracle or customer admins



Self-Repairing

- Recovers automatically from any failure
- 99.995% uptime including maintenance
- Elastically scales compute or storage as needed

Autonomous Database Machine Learning

Diagnostics, recovery and optimizations for each layer of the deployment stack

Database Infrastructure

Database Operations

Workload Optimizations







Detection and recovery of failed/sick server, storage or switch/link Hang Management Anomaly Detection Bug Identification and Prioritization Query Optimizer Real-time statistics Automatic Indexing

Autonomous Database Thousands of New Customers



Summary: An Analogy

- In the 90s and early 2000s:
 - Many specialty single purpose devices
 - Calculators, phones, GPS, etc.



Summary: An Analogy

- In the 90s and early 2000s:
 - Many specialty single purpose devices
 - Calculators, phones, GPS, etc.
- The smartphone replaced most specialty devices and provided simplicity and synergy in a converged device
 - E.g. Calendar auto syncs from email
- Similarly: A converged database vastly simplifies enterprise architecture



